

OFFICIAL GAZETTE

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Note: There is one Extraordinary issue to the Official Gazette, Series II No. 23 dated 3-9-98 namely, Extraordinary dated 7-9-98 from pages 331 to 332 regarding Notification from Goa Legislature Secretariat.

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Animal Husbandry

Directorate of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services

Order

No. 2-2-79-ÅH/Vol. III/1400

Government is pleased to order transfer of the following Veterinary Officers in the Directorate of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services, Panaji with immediate effect.

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer	Present posting	Station to which now transferred & posted
1	2	3	4
1.	Dr. Salvador Vaz	Veterinary Hospital, Margao	Veterinary Hospital, Tonca, Panaji.
2.	Dr. Agustino Misquita	Veterinary Hospital, Sonsodo Raia.	Newly opened Veterinary Dispensary, Navelim, Margao.
3.	Dr. Prashant Hawaldar	Key Village Scheme, Curti Ponda.	Newly opened Veterinary Dispensary, Dharbandora.

Dr. V. J. Thomas, Director of Animal Husbandry & Ex-Officio/Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 6th July, 1998.

Department of Cooperation

Office of the Registrar of Cooperative Societies

Order

No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS

Read: 1) This office Order No. 48-1-90/TS dated 21-4-1997.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me by sub-section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in

force in this State of Goa read with sub-rule (1) of rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, S. D. Desai, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the terms of appointment of Shri Uday Chodnekar, Advocate as the Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Coop. Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies, Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa and Dairy Office, Ponda of State of Goa, as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-1998 to 31-3-1999.

S. D. Desai, Registrar of Coop. Societies.

Panaji, 17th July, 1998.

Order

No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS

Read: 1) This office Order No. 48-1-90/TS dated 28-11-1997.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me by sub-section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in this State of Goa read with sub-rule (1) of rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, S. D. Desai, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the terms of appointment of Shri G. V. Naik, Advocate as the Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Coop. Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies, Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa and Dairy Office, Ponda of State of Goa, as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-1998 to 31-3-1999.

S. D. Desai, Registrar of Coop. Societies.

Panaji, 13th July, 1998.

Order

No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS

Read: 1) This office Order No. 48-1-90/TS dated 20-5-1997.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me by sub-section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in this State of Goa read with sub-rule (1) of rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, S. D. Desai, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the terms of appointment of Shri N. S. Shinde, Advocate as the Registrar's Nominee for deciding the

disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Coop. Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa and Dairy Office, Ponda of State of Goa, as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-1998 to 31-3-1999.

S. D. Desai, Registrar of Coop. Societies.

Panaji, 13th July, 1998.

Department of Industries

Notification

No. 15/5/80-ILD-Vol.I(a)

Government is pleased to accept the resignation submitted by Shri Aleixo Sequeira, Chairman, Goa Industrial Development Corporation vide letter dated 12-8-98 of the post of Chairman of Goa Industrial Development Corporation with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

G. P. Chimulkar, Joint Secretary (Industries).

Panaji, 13th August, 1998.

Notification

No. 15/5/80-ILD-Vol.I(b)

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Goa Industrial Development Act, 1965 (Act 22 of 1965) and in supersession of all previous Notifications in this behalf, the Government of Goa hereby directs that the Goa Industrial Development Corporation shall consist of the following Directors, namely:

1. Shri John Manuel Vaz.
2. Shri Abhay Kamat.
3. Secretary (Industries).
4. Secretary (Finance).
5. Chief Electrical Engineer.
6. Director of Industries.
7. President, Goa Chamber of Commerce & Industries.
8. President, Small Scale Industries Association.
9. Managing Director of Goa Industrial Development — Secretary.

Further, the Government of Goa hereby appoints Shri John Manuel Vaz, Director to be the Chairman of the Goa Industrial Development Corporation with immediate effect. The term of the Directors of Goa Industrial Development Corporation will be for a period of three years.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

G. P. Chimulkar, Joint Secretary (Industries).

Panaji, 13th August, 1998.

Department of Inland Waterways

Office of Captain of Ports

Order

No. 7/21/94-IWT/2518

Read: 1) Government Order No. 7/30/89-IWT dated 24-8-93.

- 2) Government Order No. 7/21/94-IWT dated 17-8-94, 14-9-94, 19-4-95, 28-9-95, 22-3-96, 9-6-96, 1-10-96, 2-5-97, 8-10-97, 28-1-98 and 8-5-98.

Government is pleased to extend the ad hoc appointment of Shri Shivram B. Nadkarni, to the post of Workshop Superintendent in Marine Workshop, River Navigation Department, Betim for a further period upto 31-8-1998 or till said post is filled on regular basis whichever is earlier.

This has approval of the Goa Public Service Commission, Panaji as conveyed vide their letter No. COM/II/11/41(1)/94 dated 13-8-98.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Capt. D. J. Fernandes, Offg. Captain of Ports.

Panaji, 17th August, 1998.

Department of Labour

Order

No. CL/Pub-Awards/97/7159

The following Award dated 12-12-1997 in Reference No. IT/29/97 given by the Industrial Tribunal, Panaji-Goa, is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolkar, Ex-Officio Joint Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 4th February, 1998.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, GOVERNMENT OF GOA AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Ajit J. Agni, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/29/97

Shri S. M. Cardozo,
E/3, Valles Building,
St. Inez, Panaji Goa

— Workman/Party I

V/s

M/s J. L. Morison (India) Ltd.,
Contractor, Building (Basement),
R. Kamani Marg, Ballard Estate,
Bombay 400038

— Employer/Party II

Workman/Party I represented by Adv. Shri P. J. Kamat.

Employer/Party II - Ex-parte.

Dated: 12-12-97.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Government by order No. IRM/COM/(32)/96/2157 dated 9th May, 1997 referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal.

"Whether the action of the management of M/s J. L. Morison (India) Limited, Bombay, in terminating the services of Shri S. M. Cardozo, Sales Officer, with effect from 21-7-95 is legal and justified ?

If not, to what relief the workman is entitled ? "

2. On receipt of the reference, a case was registered under Order No. IT/29/97 and registered A/D notice was issued to the parties who were duly served with the said notice. The workman/party I (For short "workman") was represented by Adv. Shri P. J. Kamat and he filed his statement of claim which is at Exb. 3. The Employer/Party II (For short "Employer") did not appear inspite of being duly served with the notice and consequently, no written statement was filed on its behalf inspite of opportunities given. The case was therefore proceeded ex-parte against the employer on 17-7-1997.

3. The facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the workman are that he was appointed as a Sales Representative by the employer by letter of appointment dated 4th October 1972 with effect from 12th October, 1972, which was signed by the Regional Sales Manager — Consumer Products and as such, he was the appointing authority of the workman. That he was re-designated as the Sales Officer w.e.f. 1-1-91 and he continued to be so till the date of termination of his service. That as a Sales Officer, he discharged the same duties as he was discharging as a Sales representative and he had to work under the supervision of the Supervisor (Sales Executive), Branch Manager, Regional Sales Manager and other Superior Officers. That he worked with the employer honestly and diligently and was never issued any memo, show cause notice, warnings, chargesheets etc. That suddenly, he received a letter of transfer dated 13-4-95 whereby his services were transferred to Gwalior Headquarters in the State of Madhya Pradesh w.e.f. 24-5-95. That he applied for 30 days privilege leave from 24-5-95 by his application dated 16-4-95 and also requested for the release of his L.T.A. for the year 1994-95 which was held up by the employer. That, he received a Telegram from the employer acknowledging the receipt of the application but still after he wrote a letter dated 26-4-95 to the Branch Manager explaining his difficulties and also requested for releasing his bills which were held up by the employer. That by letter dated 2-5-95, the employer granted his leave and also promised to release his L.T.A. and medical bills pending since August 1994. That however, he did not receive the same till the expiry of his leave and therefore, the workman wrote another letter dated 23-5-95 to the Branch Manager informing him about his non payment of L.T.A., medical bills and other expenses and that on account of financial constraints he shall be working in the Goa market. That accordingly, he continued working in the Goa market and his wages for the month of May, 1995 were paid to him at Panaji. That he received a letter dated 26-5-95 from the employer asking him to report at Gwalior and also to explain as to why he did not report to Gwalior, and by reply dated 3-6-95 he replied that since his money spent for the Company's work was not paid, he had no money to resume his normal work. That he received a letter dated 22nd June, 1995 from the employer alleging poor working and other baseless allegations to which he replied by his letter dated 27th June, 1995. That thereafter, he received a letter dated 21-7-95 from the Branch Manager terminating his services w.e.f. 21-7-95. The workman contended that the termination of his services is illegal as it is not done by the Appointing Authority and also because the employer did not pay to him the retrenchment compensation as required under Sec. 25F of the I.D. Act, 1947. That the workman therefore, by letter dated 20-10-95 made a demand of reinstatement on the employer and since his demand was not met, he raised the industrial dispute, and the Conciliation proceedings held, ended

in a failure. The workman contended that since the termination of his services is illegal and unjustified, he is entitled to be reinstated in service with full back wages and other consequential benefits.

4. As I have mentioned earlier, the case was proceeded ex-parte against the employer as inspite of the opportunity given, the employer did not appear and also did not file any written statement. Consequently, only the evidence of the workman is on record. The workman has examined only himself in support of his claim and his evidence is on record. He has filed his Affidavitary evidence and he examined himself before this Tribunal and produced the documentary evidence in support of his claim. The workman has produced the appointment letter dated 4-10-72 at Exb. W-1. This letter of appointment shows that the workman was appointed as the Sales Representative with effect from 12-10-72. He has produced the letters dated 23-5-95 and 25-5-95 Exb. W-2 (colly) which contain the instructions issued to the workman concerning his work. Then he has produced the letter dated 13-4-95 Exb. W-3 transferring him at Gwalior Headquarters and his leave application dated 16-4-95 Exb. W-4. The contention of the workman is that the employer had not paid to him his L.T.A., medical bills and other expenses as per the statements for the months of August, September, November, December, 1994 and January, February and March 1995 and on account of this, he was not in a position to go to Gwalior. The documents, namely the leave application Exb. W-4, letter dated 26-4-95 Exb. W-5 and the letter dated 23-5-95 Exb. W-9 do show that the workman had been demanding the payment of the amount due to him. The letter dated 2-5-95 Exb. W-7 from the employer shows that the employer had undertaken to release L.T.A. and medical bills of the workman. However, there is no evidence on record to show that this amount was paid to the workman. The workman has stated that he had made a representation against his transfer and he continued to work in Goa. He has produced the xerox copy of the cheque Exb. W-10 (colly) issued in his favour by the employer towards the salary for the month of May 1995, which supports his above contention. The above evidence therefore proves that the workman was employed as the Sales Representative in Goa; though he was transferred to Gwalior, he continued to work in Goa and the employer paid his salary for the work which he did in Goa; and the employer did not pay to him his L.T.A.; medical bills and other expenses for the year 1994-95 inspite of the demand made and the employer undertaking to pay the said amount.

5. The workman has produced the letter of termination dated 21st July, 1995 whereby the employer terminated his services with immediate effect. The workman has challenged the termination of his services on two grounds. One on the ground that termination of his services is not by the appointing authority but by the authority inferior to the rank of the appointing authority and the other on the ground that no retrenchment compensation was paid to him at the time of termination of services as required under the provisions of Sec. 25F of the I. D. Act, 1947. The workman has produced the letter of appointment dated 4-10-72 Exb. W-1, which shows that his appointing authority is the Regional Sales Manager — Consumer Products as the letter of appointment is issued by him. The letter of termination dated 21st July 1995 Exb. W-15 (colly) shows that it is issued by the Branch Manager Mr. R. R. Shah. It is a settled law that the services can be terminated by the appointing authority or by the disciplinary authority. Admittedly, the Branch Manager was not the appointing authority of the workman. There is no evidence on record to show that the Branch Manager was the disciplinary authority in respect of the workman at the time when his services were terminated, nor there is any evidence on record to show that the Branch Manager is the higher authority than the Regional Sales Manager — Consumer Products. There is also no evidence on record to show that the Branch Manager was duly authorised to issue the letter of termination of services of the workman. This being the case, the termination of services of the workman becomes illegal and bad in law, as rightly contended by the workman. The other ground on which the termination of services is challenged is that no retrenchment compensation was paid as required under the law. The contention of the workman is that his termination amounts to retrenchment and hence provisions of Sec. 25F ought to

have been complied with. I find force in the contention of the workman. Retrenchment has been defined under Sec. 2 (oo) of the I. D. Act, 1947 as follows:—

“Retrenchment” means the termination by the employer of the service of a workman for any reason whatsoever, otherwise than as a punishment inflicted by way of disciplinary action, but does not include—

(a) voluntary retirement of the workman; or

(b) retirement of the workman on reaching the age of superannuation if the contract of employment between the employer and the workman concerned contains a stipulation in that behalf;

or

(bb) termination of the service of the workman as a result of the non-renewal of its contract of employment between the employer and the workman concerned on its expiry or of such contract being terminated under a stipulation in that behalf concerned therein; or

(c) termination of the service of a workman on the ground of continued ill-health;

The letter of termination of service dated 21st July 1995 has been produced by the workman at Exb. W-15 (colly). This letter shows that the services of the workman are terminated not as a matter of punishment inflicted by way of disciplinary action but because the employer lost the confidence in the workman's ability to perform the contract of employment. The case of the workman also does not fall within the exceptions laid down in Sec. 2(oo) of the I. D. Act, 1947. Therefore, the termination of the services of the workman amounts to retrenchment as rightly contended by the workman. In case of retrenchment, certain requirements are to be complied with, and if these requirements are not complied with, the termination is illegal. Sec. 25 F of the I. D. Act lays down the procedure for retrenchment. As per this provision, the services of a workman who is in continuous service for not less than one year cannot be retrenched unless he has been given one month's notice or paid wages in lieu of such notice and he has been paid compensation at the rate of 15 days average wage per each completed year of continuous service or any part thereof in excess of six months. The above conditions are conditions precedent to retrenchment. Sec. 25 B(2) of the I. D. Act, 1947 defines “continuous service”. It states that a workman shall be deemed to be in continuous service under an employer for a period of one year if the workman during the period of 12 calendar months preceding the date with reference to which calculation is to be made, has actually worked under the employer for not less than 190 days in case of workman employed below ground in a mine and 240 days in any other cases. In the present case, the workman was employed from 12-10-72 continuously without any break. This fact is admitted by the employer as can be seen from the statement of accounts annexed to the letter of termination dated 21-7-95 Exb. W-15 (colly). Therefore, the provisions of Sec. 25 F of the I. D. Act, 1947 are applicable to the workman. The workman has deposed that he was not given one month's notice nor he was paid wages in lieu of notice nor he was paid any retrenchment compensation. There is no evidence contrary to this from the employer. The statement of account annexed to the letter of

termination dated 21-7-95 Exb. W-15 (colly) also supports the case of the workman. This statement of accounts show that the workman was paid towards his earned wages and other dues but was not paid one month's wages in lieu of notice nor he was paid retrenchment compensation as required under Sec. 25 F of the I. D. Act, 1947. Therefore, there is no compliance of Sec. 25 F of the I. D. Act, 1947 from the employer.

The Supreme Court in the case of M/s Avon Services Production Agency Pvt. Ltd. V/s Industrial Tribunal, Haryana & Others reported in AIR 1979 SC 170 has held that giving of notice and payment of compensation is a condition precedent in the case of retrenchment and failure to comply with the prescribing conditions precedent for valid retrenchment in Sec. 25 F renders the order of retrenchment invalid and inoperative. In the present case since there is no compliance of the provisions of Sec. 25 F of the I. D. Act, 1947 from the employer, the termination of services of the workman becomes illegal, invalid and inoperative on this ground also. In the circumstances, I hold that the termination of the services of the workman by the employer is illegal and unjustified.

6. Now, once it is held that the termination of the services of the workman is illegal and unjustified, the question is, what relief should be granted to the workman? The workman has claimed reinstatement with full back wages. He has stated in his deposition that he is unemployed from the date of termination of his service. There is no evidence contrary to this. The ordinary rule is that when the order of termination of services of a workman is held to be illegal and unjustified, the workman should be reinstated with full back wages, unless there are circumstances which do not warrant reinstatement or full back wages. In the present case, I do not find any reasons to deviate from this rule. The Supreme Court in the case of State Bank of India V/s Sundara Money reported in AIR 1976 SC 1111 after holding that the termination of the services of the workman was illegal for not complying with the provisions of Sec. 25 F of the I. D. Act, 1947, awarded reinstatement to the workman with full back wages. The Supreme Court in para 10 of its Judgement held as follows:

“What follows: read the State Bank of India known the law and acted on it, half month's pay would have concluded the story. But that did not happen. And now, some years have passed and the Bank has to pay for no service rendered. Even so, hard cases cannot make bad law. Reinstatement is the necessary relief that follows.”

In the present case also, the services of the workman were terminated without complying with the provisions of Sec. 25-F of the I. D. Act, 1947. There is also no evidence that the workman was gainfully employed after his services were terminated. Therefore, it is just and proper to award reinstatement to the workman with full back wages. I therefore, hold that the workman is entitled to reinstatement in service with full back wages and other consequential benefits.

In the circumstances, I pass the following order.

Order

It is hereby held that the action of the management of M/s J. W. Morisons (India) Ltd., Bombay, in terminating the services of the workman Shri S. M. Cardozo, Sales Officer, w. e. f. 21-7-95 is illegal and unjustified. The workman Shri S. M. Cardozo is ordered to be reinstated in service with full back wages and all other consequential benefits.

No order as to costs.
Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(Ajit J. Agni)
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal

Department of Law & Judiciary

Law (Establishment) Division

Notifications by the High Court of Judicature
Appellate Side, Bombay

No. A. 3902/G/98

The Honourable the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to make posting of following Civil Judges (Senior Division) and Judicial Magistrates, First Class appointed under Government Notifications, Law Department, Estt. Government of Goa, Panaji, No. 2-5-1-93/LD dated 6-5-1998.

Name of the newly appointed Civil Judge	Place of postings
1. Shri Sharad Onkardas Lad, Civil Judge, Senior Division & Judicial Magistrate, First Class.	Mapusa (Dist. North Goa)
2. Shri Pradeep Murlidharrao Shinde Civil Judge, Senior Division & Judicial Magistrate, First Class.	Margao (Dist. South Goa)
High Court, Appellate Side Bombay, 20th June, 1998.	N. V. Dabholkar Registrar

No. A. 3902/G/98

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 11(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (No. 2 of 1974), the Honourable the Chief Justice and Judges are hereby pleased to confer the powers of a Judicial Magistrate of the First Class on Shri Sharad Onkardas Lad, Civil Judge, Senior Division and Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Mapusa, District North Goa and Shri Pradeep Murlidharrao Shinde, Civil Judge, Senior Division and Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Margao, District South Goa.

High Court, Appellate Side
Bombay, 20th June, 1998.

N. V. Dabholkar
Registrar

No. A. 3902/G/98

The Honourable the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to make the following postings:

Name and present posting	New posting
1. Shri R. R. Samant, Civil Judge (Senior Division) & Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panaji.	Civil Judge (Senior Division) and Judicial Magistrate Mapusa.
2. Shri B. K. Thaly, Civil Judge, (Senior Division) and Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Bicholim.	Civil Judge (Senior Division) and Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panaji, vice Shri R. R. Samant, transferred.

High Court, Appellate Side
Bombay, 29th June, 1998.

N. V. Dabholkar
Registrar

No. A. 3945/G/93

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 13 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973, the Honourable the Chief Justice and Judges, hereby appoint within and for the local area of Mormugao (Vasco-da-Gama) and Canacona, Shri S. S. Audi to be Special Judicial Magistrate for a period of one year with effect from the date he takes charge. Their Lordships further confer upon him the power to record confessions, dying declaration, witness statements under Section 164 of the Code and holding of identification parades and all the powers of a Second Class Judicial Magistrate under the said Code in respect of such cases, as are assigned to him by the Chief Judicial Magistrates concerned in consultation with the District and Sessions Judge of Margao.

Their Lordships are further confer upon him with all the powers of Magistrates only in respect of dealing with the traffic offence cases under the New Motor Vehicles Act, 1988.

High Court, Appellate Side
Bombay, 9th July, 1998.

N. V. Dabholkar
Registrar

No. A. 1201/G/96

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 9 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (No. 2 of 1974) the Honourable the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to appoint Shri Afonso Araujo, Additional District and Assistant Sessions Judge, Margao, as the Additional Sessions Judge to exercise jurisdiction in the Courts of Sessions Division of South Goa.

High Court, Appellate Side
Bombay, 13th July, 1998.

N. V. Dabholkar
Registrar

Department of Panchayat Raj and Community
Development

Directorate of Panchayats

Notification

No. 19/32/DP/PAN/ELEC/SAR/DY.SAR/98/978

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 46 of the Goa Panchayat Raj Act, 1994 (Goa Act 14 of 1994), it is hereby notified for the information of the public that the members mentioned in column No.(3) and in column No. (4) of the schedule hereto has been elected as the Sarpanch and Deputy Sarpanch respectively to the Panchayats mentioned in the corresponding entry No. (2) of the said schedule in the meeting held on 18-3-1998.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of Panchayat	Name and address of Sarpanch	Name and address of Dy. Sarpanch
1	2	3	4
1.	Seraulim Village Panchayat	Shri Dias Michael Joaquim r/o Perseraulim H. No. 38/F Seraulim Salcete	Smt. Dias Cristalina Agapito r/o H. No. 8 Seraulim Salcete

G. G. Kambli, Director of Panchayats.

Panaji, 16th April, 1998.

Department of Public Works

Order

No. 7/14-4/85-PWD/90

Government is pleased to transfer in public interest and with immediate effect the following Assistant Engineers working in Public Works Department to the places indicated against their names.

Sl. No.	Name of the Officer	Present place of posting	New place of posting
1. Shri V. K. Das	On repatriation from Bicholim M. Council & awaiting posting w.e.f. 16-6-90 (B. N.) after availing 10 days joining time.	Engineering Asst., Circle I (Bldgs.), P.W.D. Panaji in the existing vacancy.	
2. Shri P. G. Putankar	Sub-Div. I, Div. XVII (PHE-North), P.W.D., Bicholim.	Asst. Engineer, Sub-Div. VI, Div. XVII (PHE-North) P.W.D., Valpoi, vice Shri B. A. Chowgule.	
3. Shri B. A. Chowgule.	Sub-Div. VI, Div. XVII (PHE-North), P.W.D., Valpoi.	Assistant Engineer Sub-Div. I, Div. XVII (PHE-North), P.W.D., Bicholim.	

The Officer at Sl. No. (2) should move first.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. Rajagopalan, Chief Engineer & Ex-Officio Addl. Secretary (P.W.D.).

Panaji, 15th July, 1998.

Department of Mines

Order

No. 5/2/96-Mines

Whereas M/s. Resources International (hereinafter referred to as 'the applicant'), vide its application dated 20-2-92 has applied for grant of prospecting licence under sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation & Development) Act, 1957 (Central Act 67 of 1957) (hereinafter referred to as the 'said Act'), over an area of 41.8103 hectares for Iron ore, in village Vantem of District North-Goa (hereinafter called the 'prospecting licence').

And whereas vide letter No. 5/39/97-M.IV dated 8-6-98, the Central Government has conveyed its approval to the State Government as required by sub-section (1) of section 5 of the said Act, for

the grant of the prospecting licence in favour of the applicant for a period of two years.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 10 of the said Act, the Government of Goa hereby grants the prospecting licence in favour of the applicant for a period of two years subject to the condition that the applicant shall execute a deed granting such licence as required under rule 15 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, within a period of 90 days from the date of the communication of this order to the applicant.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

G. P. Chimulkar, Joint Secretary (Mines).

Panaji, 10th August, 1998.

Department of Revenue

Notification

No. 22/59/97-RD

Whereas by Government Notification No. 22/59/97-RD dated 8-8-97 published on pages 317-318 of Series II, No. 21 of the Official Gazette dated 21-8-1997 and two newspapers (1) Gomantak Times dated 29-8-97 and (2) Navprabha dated 12-9-97 it was notified under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act"), that the land specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as the land), was likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. L.A. for construction of LBMC of TIP from Ch. 31.200 kms. to 32.100 kms. in Mapusa City of Bardez Taluka.

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as the "Government") being of the opinion that the acquisition of the said land is urgently necessary, hereby applies the provisions of sub-section (1) and sub-section (4) of section 17 of the said Act and directs that the Collector appointed under paragraph 2 below, shall, at any time, on the expiry of fifteen days from the date of the publication of the notice relating to the said land under sub-section (1) of section 9 of the said Act, take possession of the said land.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares under the provisions of section 6 of the said Act, that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government also hereby appoints under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Special Land Acquisition Officer (North), Mapusa to perform the functions of the Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land and directs him under section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the office of the Special Land Acquisition Officer (North), Mapusa till the award is made under section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Bardez

City: Mapusa

Survey No./ Plot No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
62/19, 8 part	O: Comunidade. T: Nawaso Naik Manjrekar.	275
" 9 "	O: — do — T: Uttam Shirodkar.	550
" 10 "	O: — do — T: Sitaram Saju Pawar.	182
" 11 "	O: — do — T: Govind Raut Dhargalkar.	196
" 12 "	O: — do — T: Uttam Shirodkar.	550
" 13 "	O: — do — T: Aliciano Fernandes.	290
74/3-9 "	O: — do — T: Uttam S. Shirodkar.	25
74/3-10 "	O: — do — T: Sitaram Pawar. 2. Uttam Shirodkar. 3. Urmila Ghadi.	10
74/5-4 "	O: — do — T: Ditosa Fernandes.	30
75/1-3 "	O: — do — T: Govind Shiva Korgaonkar.	100
75/1-4 "	O: Comunidade. T: Parvato Ramnath Narvekar.	450
75/1-5 "	O: — do — T: Kanta Ladu Narvekar.	775
75/1-6 "	O: — do — T: Govind Ramnath Gawade.	700
75/1-7 "	O: — do — T: Babaji V. Raut.	325
75/2-5 "	O: — do — T: Milario F. Fernandes.	25
75/2-6 "	O: — do — T: Shaikh Ibrahim Paua.	375
75/2-7 "	O: — do — T: Shaikh Ibrahim Paua.	240
75/2-8 "	O: — do —	220
75/2-9 "	O: Comunidade. T: Tereza D'Souza.	450
75/2-10 "	O: — do — T: Carmin D'Souza. S. T. Baburao Gopal Digvekar.	450
75/2-11 "	O: — do — T: Sundari Gadekar.	500
75/2-12 "	O: — do — T: Caudido Vicent D'Souza.	375
75/2-13 "	O: — do — T: Estefan D'Souza.	300

1	2	3
84/2-1	part O: — do — T: Kanta Ladu Narvekar.	300
84/2-2 "	O: — do — T: Mirabai A. S. Korgaonkar.	185
84/2-3 "	O: — do — T: Vasant Vasu Haldankar.	45
83/2-1 "	O: Katulin D' Souza.	35
83/2-2 "	O: Mosuiken D'Sa. T: Josephin Souza.	75
83/2-3 "	O: Santan D'Mello.	69
83/2-4 "	O: Santan Piedade Pinto D'Mello.	65
83/2-5 "	O: Sebastiao D'Mello.	40
83/2-6 "	O: Maria Philis Pinto D'Sa.	10
83/2-15 "	O: (Foot path)	25
83/1-2 "	O: Mosmiken D'Souza. T: Josephin D'Sa.	5
83/1-3 "	O: Santan D'Mello.	30
83/1-4 "	O: — do —	60
83/1-5 "	O: Sebastiao D'Mello.	120
83/1-6 "	O: Maria Philis Pinto e D'Sa.	150
83/1-7 "	O: Jose Marcelin Pinto.	175
83/1-8 "	O: Sebastiao Rodrigues.	200
83/1-9 "	O: Angnes D'Silva. T: Maria Theresa D'Souza. 2. Jose Cabrial Monteiro.	150
83/1-10 "	O: Joanita Tion Pinto. 2. Rosalina E. Pinto.	200
83/1-11 "	O: Boaventure D'Souza.	175
83/1-12 "	O: Josephine D'Souza. T: Kashinath K. Harmalkar.	150
83/1-13 "	O: Bartalina L. D'Mello. T: Claudin D'Souza.	175
83/1-14 "	O: Pedro Caitan Andrade D'Souza 2. Smt. Olinda Lobo.	100
83/1-15 "	O: Comunidade. T: Datta Naik. 2. Chandrabhaga Salgaonkar.	275
83/1-16 "	O: Comunidade.	20
83/1-10 "	O: Salvador Caetan Coutinho.	175
83/1-11 "	O: Jose Mariano Fernandes.	175
83/37 "	O: Comunidade.	360
82/2 "	O: Joran Braganza.	1785
Total		12722

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Smt. A. Menezes, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 7th September, 1998.